

1

2

3

4

5

6 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
7 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

8

9 PERCY LAVAE BACON,  
10 Plaintiff,  
11 vs.  
12 OSWALD REYES, et al.,  
13 Defendants.

Case No. 2:12-cv-01222-JCM-VCF  
**ORDER**

14

15 Presently before the court is defendants' motion to extend time to file a response. (Doc. # 99).

16

17 Magistrate Judge Ferenbach issued a report and recommendation that addressed approximately  
18 ten of the motions filed in this case. (Doc. # 87). The plaintiff filed objections to the report and  
19 recommendation. (Doc. # 90).

20

21 Defendants filed the instant motion after the deadline date for filing a response to the objections  
22 had passed. Defendants represent that they incorrectly calendared the response deadline. Defendants  
23 now seek leave to file their response to plaintiff's objections due to excusable neglect. Defendants have  
attached their response as exhibit B.

24

25 Due to excusable neglect and the court's preference to decide issues on the merits, the court  
finds good cause to grant the motion.

26

...

27

...

28

...

1 Accordingly,

2 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that defendants' motion to extend  
3 time to file a response (doc. # 99) be, and the same hereby, is GRANTED.

4 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendants shall file the response attached to their motion as  
5 exhibit B within five days of this order.

6 DATED July 8, 2013.

7   
8 

---

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
9

10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28